

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,597	10/12/2001	William M. Fries	70683	3277
22242	7590 12/11/2003		EXAMINER	
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET			THORNTON, KRISANNE MARIE	
SUITE 1600	LA SALLE STREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60603-3406		1744	7
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			10				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/976,597	FRIES ET AL.	/				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Krisanne M. Thornton	1744					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover she t	with the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after.SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M . cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time tONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	ely. communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b></b>						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal m Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is				
Disposition of Claims	/	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.			•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
<u> </u>							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	led Office Action or form P	IO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have bee	Application No.	Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro-	c priority under 35 U.S.0 t sentence of the specif	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona fication or in an Application	l application) Data Sheet				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	priority under 35 U.S.(	C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	a specific CFR 1.78.				
Attachment(s)							
) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interviev	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(	s)				
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTC					

Art Unit: 1744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-27, drawn to a fluid treatment system, classified in class 250.
- II. Claims 28-38, drawn to a disposable light treatment chamber, classified in class 206.
- III. Claims 39-45, drawn to a light treatment device, classified in class 250.
- IV. Claims 46-53, drawn to a method of fluid decontamination, classified in class 422, subclass 23.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as means for liquid sample testing in a detection device. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus could be used to practice a sampling and detection process.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,597

Art Unit: 1744

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention the device of group III has separate utility such as a heat-sealing device for a food containment package. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Atty. Scott Menghini on 10/15/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne M. Thornton whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Wed. 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

KRISANNE THORNTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

December 9, 2003